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First: A Retrospective

The Evolution of the OpenGL Pipeline
In the Beginning …

- OpenGL 1.0 was released on July 1\textsuperscript{st}, 1994
- Its pipeline was entirely \textit{fixed-function}
  - the only operations available were fixed by the implementation

- The pipeline evolved, but remained fixed-function through OpenGL versions 1.1 through 2.0 (released Sept. 7\textsuperscript{th}, 2004)
Fixed-Function Application Interface

- Everything the API was capable of is accessed through function calls
- Lots of fine-grained memory writes
  - when this API was developed, most computer systems directly mapped device registers and poked values into them
  - API made sense for systems of that time

```c
GLfloat data[] = { ... };  
glBegin( GL_TRIANGLES );  
glColor3f( ... );  
glVertex3f( ... );  
glVertex3f( ... );  
glVertex3f( ... );  
glEnd();  
glDrawArrays( GL_TRIANGLES, 0, 3 );
```
The Start of the Programmable Pipeline

- OpenGL 2.0 (officially) added programmable shaders
  - *vertex shading* augmented the fixed-function transform and lighting stage
  - *fragment shading* augmented the fragment coloring stage
- However, the fixed-function pipeline was still available

- The pipeline remained the same until OpenGL 3.1 (released March 24th, 2009)
An Evolutionary Change

- OpenGL 3.0 introduced the *deprecation model*
  - the method used to remove features from OpenGL
- Introduced a change in how OpenGL contexts are used
  - an OpenGL *context* is the driver data structure that stores OpenGL state information (e.g., textures, shaders, etc.)
  - two types of contexts became available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Includes all features (including those marked deprecated) available in the current version of OpenGL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward Compatible</td>
<td>Includes all non-deprecated features (i.e., creates a context that would be similar to the next version of OpenGL)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Exclusively Programmable Pipeline

- OpenGL 3.1 removed\(^(*)\) the fixed-function pipeline
  - programs were required to use only shaders

- Additionally, almost all data is \textit{GPU-resident}
  - all vertex data sent using buffer objects

\(^(*)\) OpenGL 3.1 included an extension – \textit{GL_ARB_compatibility} – which re-enabled all removed functionality
More Programmability

- OpenGL 3.2 (released August 3rd, 2009) added an additional shading stage – *geometry shaders*
More Evolution – Context Profiles

- OpenGL 3.2 also introduced *context profiles*
  - profiles control which features are exposed
    - it’s like [GL_ARB_compatibility](https://www.khronos.org/registry/arb/specs/ARB_compatibility.txt), only not insane 😊
  - currently two types of profiles: *core* and *compatible*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context Type</th>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full</td>
<td>core</td>
<td>All features of the current release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>compatible</td>
<td>All features ever in OpenGL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward Compatible</td>
<td>core</td>
<td>All non-deprecated features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>compatible</td>
<td>Not supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Latest Pipeline

- OpenGL 4.0 (released March 11\textsuperscript{th}, 2010) added additional shading stages – \textit{tessellation-control and tessellation-evaluation shaders}

- OpenGL 4.1 (released July 26\textsuperscript{th}, 2010) and 4.2 (released, August 10\textsuperscript{th}, 2011) added features, but no new shading stages
Programmable Shader Interface

GLchar *vertPgm = “in vec4 vPosition; ...”;
GLchar *fragPgm = “...”;

GLuint vertShdr =
    glCreateShader( GL_VERTEX_SHADER );
glShaderSource( vertShdr, 1,
    NULL, vertPgm );
glCompileShader( vertShdr );

GLuint fragShdr =
    glCreateShader( GL_FRAGMENT_SHADER );
glShaderSource( fragShdr, 1,
    NULL, fragPgm );
glCompileShader( fragShdr );

GLuint program = glCreateProgram();
glAttachShader( program, vertShdr );
glAttachShader( program, fragShdr );
glLinkProgram();

GLuint vPos = glGetUniformLocation( program, “vPosition” );

GLfloat data[] = { ... };

GLuint VAO;
glGenVertexArrays( 1, &VAO );
glBindVertexArray( VAO );

GLuint VBO;
glGenBuffer( 1, &VBO );
glBindBuffer( GL_VERTEX_BUFFER, VBO );
glBufferData( GL_VERTEX_BUFFER, sizeof(data), data, GL_STATIC_DRAW );

glVertexAttribPointer( vPos, 3, GL_FLOAT,
    GL_FALSE, 0, BUFFER_OFFSET(0) );

glEnableVertexAttribArray( vPos );

glDrawArrays( GL_TRIANGLES, 0, 3 );
Accelerating OpenGL Innovation

Bringing state-of-the-art functionality to cross-platform graphics

- OpenGL 2.0
- OpenGL 2.1
- OpenGL 3.0
- OpenGL 3.1
- OpenGL 3.2
- OpenGL 3.3/4.0
- OpenGL 4.0
- OpenGL 4.1
- OpenGL 4.2

DirectX
- DirectX 9.0c
- DirectX 10.0
- DirectX 10.1
- DirectX 11
Trend Check …

- Almost all innovation of the latest versions has been in adding new shader stages or shader capabilities
  - less graphics focused– more compute focused
  - there are still a few stages that are “fixed-function” (e.g., blending), but those may become programmable soon as well

- Clear trend towards:
  1. initialize a chunk of data
  2. process it using a shader
  3. if ( !done) go to 1

- That’s (generally) good news for driver developers
  - most changes confined to shader compiler

- Heading that direction (could) have a logical conclusion …
OpenCL

- OpenCL (Compute Language) provides a common framework for heterogeneous computing
  - write one “kernel” (OpenCL vernacular for “shader”), and OpenCL will make it available for each supported compute device in a system

![Diagram of OpenCL process]

1. Load Data
2. Specify Data for Kernel
3. Process Data on CPU
4. Execute Kernel
5. Stop
A View Towards the Future

(from this point, it’s likely anything I say will be false in a short time … or maybe not 😊)
Moving Data Downwards

- System buses are still the bottlenecks in almost all systems

- APIs are trying to limit programmer data interaction
  - move from small-grained API interaction to large data-block mechanisms

- Khronos APIs are trending (if not there already) to handing data to the GPU in chunks
  - OpenGL’s buffer objects
    - VBOs, PBOs, TexBOs, UBOs, …
  - explicit loading/retrieval operations (through API calls)
    - actually, very useful for knowing when data’s changed
      - ask anyone who’s worked on client-side vertex arrays
Feature Convergence

- OpenGL’s acquiring more OpenCL-like features:
  - Random-access reading and writing to images (i.e., buffers)
  - Atomic operations on shader variables
  - Asynchronous thread execution

- OpenCL comes with some graphics features as well:
  - Filtered image sampling
  - Writing to images

- What’s still different?
  - Mostly hardware accelerated features:
    - rasterizer
    - blending and depth-buffering hardware
  - But it’s possible to implement these in a kernel
    - it’s just not as optimal as having hardware
Impact on Device Drivers

- OpenGL and OpenCL are separate APIs
  - likely implemented in separate DSOs

- Data sharing is permitted between the APIs
  - KHR extension providing OpenCL access to OpenGL buffers
  - requires data synchronization
    - both APIs support fence-like facilities for synchronization
Thanks!

Questions?
(and maybe even some answers 😊)